RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 2 7 2007

Attorney Docket No. 03699/LH

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants : Minoru KUMAGAI et al

Serial No. : 10/716,885

Filed: November 18, 2003

For : DISPLAY APPARATUS, AND DISPLAY

APPARATUS MANUFACTURING METHOD

AND APPARATUS

Art Unit : 1762

Examiner : LIN, James

Customer No.: 01933

Confirm. No.: 8690

RESPONSE- 37 C.F.R. 1.111

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

This is responsive to the Office Action mailed February 27, 2007, the term for response to which expires on March 27, 2007.

FIRST ELECTION:

Applicants elect Invention II, claims 9-19, without traverse.

SECOND ELECTION:

Since Invention II was elected, Applicants elect Species I, Figs. 5A-6C, with traverse. The claims readable on Invention II and Species I are claims 9-12, 14 and 16-19.

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION TO NO. 1-571-273-8300

TOTAL PAGES: 2
I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Commissioner for Patents, on the date noted below.

Dated: March 27, 2007

Diane J. Hegstrom

In the event that this Paper is late filed, and the necessary petition for extension of time is not filed concurrently herewith, please consider this as a Petition for the requisite extension of time, and to the extent not tendered by credit card payment, authorization to charge the extension fee, or any other fee required in connection with this Paper to Account No. 06-1378.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

TRAVERSE OF SPECIES ELECTION REQUIREMENT:

MAR 2 7 2007

Applicants traverse the Examiner's requirement to elect Species I or Species II (item 7 on page 3 of the Office Action) on the grounds that it has not been established that it would be an undue burden to examine each of the noted Species and their respective claims together.

Under M.P.E.P. § 803, a Restriction is not proper if a search and examination can be made without a serious burden on the Examiner, and the outstanding Restriction Requirement with respect to Species I and Species II has not established that examining all of the currently-pending elected claims 9-19 (directed to elected Invention II) together would result in an undue burden on the Examiner. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that all of claims 9-19 (which include Species I and II) should be examined together on the merits.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect Species I or II be withdrawn. further respectfully submitted that this submission is fully responsive to the outstanding Office Action.

If the Examiner has any comments, questions, objections or recommendations, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the telephone number given below for prompt action.

Respectfully submitted,

March 27, 2007

Leonard Holtz, Reg. No. 22

FRISHAUF HOLTZ GOODMAN & CHICK, P.C. 220 FIFTH AVENUE NEW YORK, N.Y. 10001-7708 Tel. No. (212) 319-4900 Fax No. (212) 319-5101

LH:djh